## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-8 were in the application. In the last office action, claims 1-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for failing to comply with the written description requirement and for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These rejections were due to the introduction of a "housing" for the chamber in claim 1. Accordingly, claim 1 has now been amended to delete the reference to the housing. Hence, it is believed that the Section 112 rejections have been overcome.

The Examiner has acknowledged that claims 2-8 would be allowable if rewritten so as not to depend from a rejected based claim. Accordingly, claim 1 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 2 which has now been cancelled. Claims 3-7 have been amended to depend on amended claim 1. Moreover, claim 8 depends on claim 7 which also now depends on amended claim 1. Hence, it is believed that claims 1 and 3-8 are now patentable.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. Early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

An unpaid fee required to keep this case alive may be charged to deposit account 06-0735.

Respectfully Submitted,

/Howard F. Mandelbaum/ Howard F. Mandelbaum Registration No. 27,519 Attorney for Applicant

HFM:tct